Improving Crop Type Classification for Large Geographic Areas

Yaping Cai^{1,2}, Shaowen Wang^{1,2,4}, Kaiyu Guan^{3,5}, and Jian Peng⁴

CyberGIS Center for Advanced Digital and Spatial Studies
Department of Geography and Geographic Information Science
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences
Department of Computer Science
National Center for Supercomputing Applications
University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign

AAG 2019 Symposium on Frontiers in Geospatial Data Science Washington, D.C. April 4th, 2019

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. County-level findings
- 3. Scale up to the State of Illinois
- 4. Future work



Accurate field-level crop type classification is very important.

Related Work



To generate in-season crop type classification is a challenge.

County-level findings



Corn

Soybean

Season Classification System of Field-Level Crop Types Using Time-Series Landsat Data and a Machine Learning Approach". *Remote Sensing of Environment.* 210: 35-47

Crop Classification Model

Spectral and Temporal Information







131,216 CPU hours in total

Crop Classification Model training is computationally intensive.

High-Performance Computing Workflow



CyberGIS Supercomputing





Virtual ROGER

Batch system GPU Nodes VMware Cloud 1 Petabyte Storage

XSEDE

Scale up to IL State



Each scene can be processed in parallel

Each field can be processed in parallel

Process Each Sense in Parallel



Hypothesis: Spatial Impact



Results at Multiple Scales



Results for Different Regional Divisions



Future Work

- Improve the classification accuracy by finding the optimized spatial region division
- Extend current work to other years (currently focusing on 2016)

References

1. Wardlow B D, Egbert S L, Kastens J H. Analysis of time-series MODIS 250 m vegetation index data for crop classification in the US Central Great Plains[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2007, 108(3): 290-310.

2. Wardlow B D, Egbert S L. Large-area crop mapping using time-series MODIS 250 m NDVI data: An assessment for the US Central Great Plains[J]. Remote sensing of environment, 2008, 112(3): 1096-1116.

3. Sexton J O, Urban D L, Donohue M J, et al. Long-term land cover dynamics by multi-temporal classification across the Landsat-5 record[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2013, 128: 246-258.

4. Boryan C, Yang Z, Mueller R, et al. Monitoring US agriculture: the US department of agriculture, national agricultural statistics service, cropland data layer program[J]. Geocarto International, 2011, 26(5): 341-358.

5. Collobert R, Weston J. A unified architecture for natural language processing: Deep neural networks with multitask learning[C]//Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning. ACM, 2008: 160-167.

6. Hinton G, Deng L, Yu D, et al. Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups[J]. IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 2012, 29(6): 82-97.

7. Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton G E. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks[C]//Advances in neural information processing systems. 2012: 1097-1105.

8. Wang S. A CyberGIS framework for the synthesis of cyberinfrastructure, GIS, and spatial analysis[J]. Annals of the Association of American Geographers, 2010, 100(3): 535-557.

9. Zhao Y, Padmanabhan A, Wang S. A parallel computing approach to viewshed analysis of large terrain data using graphics processing units[J]. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 2013, 27(2): 363-384.

10. Wang S, Armstrong M P. A theoretical approach to the use of cyberinfrastructure in geographical analysis[J]. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 2009, 23(2): 169-193.

11. Wang S. Formalizing computational intensity of spatial analysis[C]//Proceedings of the 5th international conference on geographic information science. 2008: 184-187.

Acknowledgements

- National Science Foundation
 - -1443080
 - 1743184

Thanks !

Comments / Questions?

Email: cai25@Illinois.edu