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Objective

- The objective of this study is to present a cyberinfrastructure-enabled high performance computing solution to resolve the big data challenge facing geospatial data analytics in 3D GIS.
- We focus on using a state-of-the-art photogrammetry technique, structure from motion (SfM), for the 3D modeling of hydraulic structures (e.g., bridges).
Background

- 3D GIS instead of conventional 2D GIS
- Applications of 3D GIS
  - Hydraulic structure (e.g., bridges)
  - Forest (e.g., tree attribute estimation)
  - Urban planning (e.g., building)
  - Geology (e.g., geological layers)

http://www.3dforest.eu/


Background

- **3D GIS**
  - 3D geospatial data collection and processing
    - LiDAR, sonar, camera
    - Collected from satellite, airplane, drone, vehicles, etc.
  - 3D geospatial analysis and modeling
  - 3D geo-visualization
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Structure from Motion: a Revolutionary Photogrammetry Technique

- Structure from Motion (Westoby et al., 2012) is a technique to reconstruct 3D scene with images and ground control
- Differences between traditional photogrammetry and SfM
  - Traditional photogrammetry relies on 3D location and pose of camera, ground control, and images.
  - Structure from Motion solves the camera pose by matching features extracted from overlapping images leveraging **feature detection techniques**.
Feature Detection

- Common Feature Detection Techniques:
  - Scale Invariant Feature Transform (Sift) (Lowe, 2004)
  - Speed Up Robust Feature (Surf) (Herbert, 2008)
  - Oriented FAST, Rotated BRIEF (Orb) (Calonder, 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Intensity (light)</th>
<th>Rotation (angle)</th>
<th>Scaling (distance)</th>
<th>Fish eye (distortion)</th>
<th>Noise</th>
<th>Time cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIFT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURF</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Performance (level 1-3) of feature extraction techniques in different scenarios and time cost (source from Karami, 2017)*
Big Data-driven Computing Challenges:
- To solve the pose of camera, SfM relies on feature extraction technique. However, it is a time consuming especially adopting best performance technique SIFT for tons of images.
- The later step of SfM, dense reconstruction, also faces issues of data-intensive computing when massive images are involved.
Solution?

- Cyberinfrastructure-enabled High Performance and Parallel Computing!

[Image of a data center with text: HPC]
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Study Area and Data

- Bridges in Mount Holly, Gaston County, NC
- RGB images collected from GPS camera
  - GPS Camera: Sony DSC-HX400V
  - 208 images with resolution 5,184*3,888

https://www.google.com/maps
Methods

- Parallel algorithms of structure from motion technique
  - Parallel feature detection
  - Parallel dense reconstruction
Parallel Feature Detection

- The computing of subsets can be parallelized over multiple CPUs
  - Share-nothing parallelism
Parallel Dense Reconstruction

- Clustering View for multi-view Stereo (CMVS) (Furukawa & Ponce, 2007; Furukawa et al., 2010)
- Patch-based Multi-view Stereo (PMVS2) (Furukawa and Ponce, 2007)
- CMVS decomposes overlapping images to subsets and PMVS2 reconstructs 3D data independently for each of them
Implementation

- Testing Data: To avoid endless time when to test time cost of each test involving different #CPUs, we use a testing dataset with 29 images (resolution 1,024*768).
- OpenSfM, an open-source Structure from Motion software package
  - [https://github.com/mapillary/OpenSfM](https://github.com/mapillary/OpenSfM)
High Performance Computing Resources

- Linux Cluster
  - **Copperhead**: Linux-based HPC Cluster
    - 96 compute nodes
    - 2,060 computing cores (CPUs)
    - Total Memory: 18,004 GB
    - Located at University Research Computing at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte (https://urc.uncc.edu/)
  - Intel® Xeon® Processor E5 v3  10cores 3.1GHz
3D Reconstruction Results for bridges

2D image of the study site

3D point cloud scene of the study site
Results

- Sequential computing time: 591 seconds
- Parallel computing time using different #CPUs
Discussion

- Paralleling feature detection and dense reconstruction speeds up 7 times with 10 cores than the sequential computing time. This result support the feasibility of using HPC technique to accelerate Structure from Motion.
- Leveraging HPC, geospatial big data can then be well used for highly accurate modeling in 3D structures such as bridges.
Conclusion and Future work

- Using parallel computing is a powerful approach to accelerate Structure from Motion as a cutting-edge photogrammetry technique. In this study, we use this technique to speed up two important steps in SfM: feature detection and dense reconstruction.

- However, feature matching and bundle adjustment also face data-intensive computing problem, especially with large-volume datasets.

- Further work should address the computing issues in the mentioned two steps so that SfM can be accelerated in facing increasing number of images for highly accurate 3D scene reconstruction.
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